

I certify that this copy of the Minutes is a true and correct record of the meeting held on 18th January 2011
Signed:
Presiding Elected Member
Date:.....



**MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS ON
TUESDAY, 18 JANUARY 2011
COMMENCING AT 4.00PM**

PRESENT:	President	Cr S C Chandler (Presiding Member)
	Councillors	Cr R T McClurg (Deputy President) Cr J B Fitzhardinge Cr K J Hepworth Cr B C Scott Cr I F West
	Staff	Mr D J Simmons – Chief Executive Officer Mr G F Coaker – Town Planner Ms A McDonald – Executive Assistant/Records Officer (EA) (Minute Taker)
	Gallery	4 Members of the Public
APOLOGIES:		Cr R W Roberts Cr L W Wheeler

LEAVE OF ABSENCE:

-
- 1. DECLARATION OF OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS**
The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 4.00pm and welcomed all those in attendance to the proceedings.
 - 2. RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE**
Cr R W Roberts and Cr L W Wheeler - Apologies
 - 3. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE**
Nil.

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

4.1. John Rossiter

Summary of Question One

I would like clarification in regards to the comment on page 18 of the 2009-2010 Annual Report – Plan for the Future ‘sell Monastery building and use proceeds to fund infrastructure needs’.

I was under the impression that the deal between the Irwin Shire and the Benedictine Order was that the purchase of the Monastery was conditional that the buildings were to be retained for community use.

The CEO advised that whilst Council’s current Plan for the Future does foreshadow a sale of the Monastery buildings to fund future infrastructure needs there are serious town planning issues such as zoning and public open space requirements that would currently preclude any sale proceeding at this time.

Summary of Question Two

I am concerned with the safety of the new road entering the Medical Centre as it has loose aggregate, will the shire be sweeping the road?

The CEO advised that the Shire is currently waiting on asphalt to lay the final seal however due to major road works in Geraldton there has been a delay. In the meantime, the Shire will investigate sweeping the road to improve road user safety.

4.2. Mike Purslow

Summary of Question One

Currently there are large vehicles utilising the roads in the main town specifically the roundabouts, are there any rules governing the size of vehicles permitted in the town zone?

The CEO advised that vehicles with single trailers i.e. semi-trailers are permitted within the town site. Long vehicles i.e. road trains require permits to access the town area.

Summary of Question Two

In the Financial Statements for the period ending 31 December 2010 there is a 23% increase in employee costs, can you advise what that increase reflects?

The CEO advised that as at 1 July 2010 all employees were placed on a new award which resulted in salary and wage increases across all Shire operations of which he felt would have contributed significantly to the increase in employee costs for the period.

The Shire President advised that the question would be taken on notice due to the absence of the Director of Corporate Services.

Summary of Question Three

In the Financial Statements for the period ending 31 December 2010 the loan schedule reflects a payment of \$2,200,000 and a new loan of \$1,000,000 does that mean that \$1,200,000 was paid off the Recreation Centre Loan?

The Shire President advised that the question would be taken on notice due to the absence of the Director of Corporate Services.

4.3. Riki Porteus

Summary of Question One

Would Council consider closing the main tourist area to traffic on weekends?

The Shire President advised that streets can be closed on application for a particular event with such applications being considered on their merits.

Summary of Question Two

Will Council consider purchasing an Aboriginal Flag and fly it on special days such as Naidoc Week etc?

The Shire President advised that the Executive Assistant is in the process of ordering the Aboriginal flag to be used on appropriate occasions on advice of the relevant Government Department (being the Protocol Section of the Department of Premier and Cabinet).

5. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

6. PETITIONS

Nil.

7. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

7.1 MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 21 DECEMBER 2010

A copy of the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 21 December 2010 has been provided to all Councillors under separate cover.

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr B C Scott

SECONDED: Cr R T McClurg

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting, held on 21 December 2010, be confirmed as a true and accurate recording of that meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS

6/0

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PERSON PRESIDING WITHOUT DISCUSSION

- 8.1.** It is with pleasure that I congratulate and present Cr Ian West with a Statement of Attainment certificate for his completion of the Strategic Planning unit which is part of the Diploma of Local Government (Elected Member) and I encourage all Councillors to consider participation in this course.

9. REPORTS

CDO.006

Subject: Sponsorship Request – Mingenew-Irwin Group Dinner
Reporting Officer: Community Development Officer
Date of Report: 12 January 2011
File Reference: RC.EV.2
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Issue:

To consider a request for sponsorship from the Mingenew-Irwin Group to hold a community dinner to provide farming families and members of the community in the Mingenew and Irwin Shires an opportunity to take their mind off the effects of a poor season and financial stress.

The Mingenew-Irwin Group has also applied for sponsorship for this event from the Shire of Mingenew to the value of \$5000.00.

Body/Background:

Correspondence has been received (see attachment) from Jane Bradley of the Mingenew-Irwin Group requesting sponsorship of \$5000.00 from the Dry Season Assistance Package.

The Mingenew-Irwin Group wish to hold a community dinner which is planned for Saturday 19 February 2011. It will be a semi formal event aimed at raising the moral of those affected by the dry season and giving them the opportunity to get away from the farm and enjoy the company of others, while listening to motivational speakers and a live band. The sponsorship will be used to fund either a guest speaker or comedian for the dinner.

Dry Season Assistance Package Funding (Overview)

The Liberal-National Government has approved an additional \$5million Dry Season Assistance Package for communities impacted on by the unprecedented dry season. This set of State Government measures is to help rural communities cope with one of the driest winters on record.

The State Government is making the community service grants available to 100 local governments (including Shire of Irwin) in the affected areas. Those that apply will be granted up to \$20,000 to be spent on community events held by 30 November 2011. (Such as Larry Lobster Festival)

Officer's Comment:

It is suggested that council support the Mingenew-Irwin Group, with a donation of \$5000.00, this dinner is a farming community event that will benefit those community members in a time of need.

Financial Implications:

The financial implication to the Shire would be nil as this money is additional to the budget and has been allocated by the State Government. The Shire has applied for the Dry Season Assistance Package Funding and this funding is to be used for community events to promote community spirit.

Statutory Environment:

Nil.

Policy Implications:

Nil.

Officer's Recommendation:

That Council provides a \$5000.00 donation from the Dry Season Assistance Package funding to the Mingenew-Irwin Group in support of the Mingenew-Irwin Group dry season dinner.

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr K J Hepworth

SECONDED: Cr B C Scott

That Council provides a \$5000.00 donation from the Dry Season Assistance Package funding to the Mingenew-Irwin Group in support of the Mingenew-Irwin Group dry season dinner.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS

6/0

CEO.456:

Subject: Proposed Regional Local Government Planning Group
Reporting Officer: Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
Date of Report: 12 January 2011
File Reference: CM.PL
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Issue:

To advise Council of an invitation from the Shire of Coorow to form a regional local government planning group and seek authorisation for the Shire President and CEO to participate in initial discussions.

Body/Background:

In response to a recent decision by the Shire of Carnamah not to proceed with an amalgamation proposal with the Shire of Coorow, the Shire of Coorow has activated a previous resolution to approach the Shires of Carnamah, Dandaragan and Irwin with the view to forming a Regional Planning Group.

A copy of the Shire of Coorow's correspondence is attached.

Officer's Comment:

As the Shire of Coorow's correspondence lacks specific detail concerning the regional planning group proposal the CEO has requested further information from the Coorow Shire's CEO but, at the time of writing, is yet to receive a response.

However, based on Council's existing local government reform position that promotes co-operation and consolidation with neighbouring local governments and that formal establishment of a group as that proposed would have to reported back to Council, it is suggested that at this early stage it would be appropriate for Council to authorise the Shire President and CEO to participate in initial discussions on the proposal and report back on those discussions to the March or April Council Forum.

Financial Implications:

Nil.

Statutory Environment:

Nil.

Policy Implications:

Nil.

Officer's Recommendation:

The Council authorises the Shire President and Chief Executive Officer to participate in initial discussions pertaining to the proposed Regional Planning Group between the Shires of Carnamah, Coorow, Dandaragan and Irwin with the result of those discussions being reported to the March/April Council Forum.

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr J B Fitzhardinge

SECONDED: Cr I F West

The Council authorises the Shire President and Chief Executive Officer to participate in initial discussions pertaining to the proposed Regional Planning Group between the Shires of Carnamah, Coorow, Dandaragan and Irwin with the result of those discussions being reported to the March/April Council Forum.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS

6/0

DCS.363

Subject: Accounts for Payment
Reporting Officer: Acting Director Corporate Services
Date of Report: 18 January 2011
File Reference: Minute Book
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Issue:

To receive the list of accounts paid during December 2010.

Background:

A list of accounts paid under delegated authority is attached showing all payments made during the month of December 2010.

Policy Implications:

Under Delegation C3 Council has delegated authority to the Chief Executive Officer to authorise all payments by Council.

Statutory Implications:

13. Lists of accounts
- (1) If the local government has delegated to the CEO the exercise of its power to make payments from the municipal fund or the trust fund, a list of accounts paid by the CEO is to be prepared each month showing for each account paid since the last such list was prepared —
 - (a) the payee's name;
 - (b) the amount of the payment;
 - (c) the date of the payment; and
 - (d) sufficient information to identify the transaction.
 - (3) A list prepared under sub-regulation (1) or (2) is to be —
 - (a) presented to the council at the next ordinary meeting of the council after the list is prepared; and
 - (b) recorded in the minutes of that meeting.

Officer's Recommendation:

That the Accounts paid during December 2010, represented by Municipal Cheque Numbers 28025 - 28051, EFT payment numbers 11015 – 11113 totalling \$497,738.67, Trust Payment Cheque Numbers: 2228 – 2229 totalling \$2000.00 & Police Licensing Payment No's PL 011210– PL 291210 totalling \$65,704.75 be received.

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr R T McClurg

SECONDED: Cr B C Scott

That the Accounts paid during December 2010, represented by Municipal Cheque Numbers 28025 - 28051, EFT payment numbers 11015 – 11113 totalling \$497,738.67, Trust Payment Cheque Numbers: 2228 – 2229 totalling \$2000.00 & Police Licensing Payment No's PL 011210– PL 291210 totalling \$65,704.75 be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS

6/0

DCS.364

Subject: Financial Statements for the Period ending 31/12/2010
Reporting Officer: Acting Director Corporate Services
File Reference: Minute Book
Date Prepared: 18 January 2011
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Issue:

To consider and receive the Monthly Financial Statements for the period 1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010.

Body / Background:

The Monthly Financial Report to the 31 December 2010 is prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government Act and the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations and includes the following:

- Rate Setting Statement
- Statement of Comprehensive Income by Program
- Statement of Comprehensive Income by Nature & Type
- Statement of Financial Position
- Statement of Changes in Equity
- Statement of Cash Flows
- Disposal of Assets
- Information on Borrowings
- Reserve Funds
- Net Current Assets
- Rating Information
- Trust Fund Summary
- Statement of Bank Reconciliations
- Capital Works Program
- Restricted Assets Statement
- Schedules 3 – 14 Budget vs Actuals Comparison
- APU Operating Statement

Officers Comment:

Nil.

Financial Implications:

Nil.

Statutory Environment:

The Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations provides as follows:

Section 34. Financial activity statement report

- (1) A local government is to prepare each month a statement of financial activity reporting on the sources and applications of funds, as set out in the annual budget under regulation 22(1)(d), for that month in the following detail -
 - (a) annual budget estimates, taking into account any expenditure incurred for an additional purpose under section 6.8(1)(b) or (c);

- (b) budget estimates to the end of the month to which the statement relates;
 - (c) actual amounts of expenditure, revenue and income to the end of the month to which the statement relates;
 - (d) material variances between the comparable amounts referred to in paragraphs (b) and (c); and
 - (e) the net current assets at the end of the month to which the statement relates.
- (2) Each statement of financial activity is to be accompanied by documents containing -
- (a) an explanation of the composition of the net current assets of the month to which the statement relates, less committed assets and restricted assets;
 - (b) an explanation of each of the material variances referred to in sub-regulation (1)(d); and
 - (c) such other supporting information as is considered relevant by the local government.
- (3) The information in a statement of financial activity may be shown -
- (a) according to nature and type classification;
 - (b) by program; or
 - (c) by business unit.
- (4) A statement of financial activity, and the accompanying documents referred to in sub-regulation (2), are to be -
- (a) presented to the council -
 - (i) at the next ordinary meeting of the council following the end of the month to which the statement relates; or
 - (ii) if the statement is not prepared in time to present it to the meeting referred to in subparagraph (i), to the next ordinary meeting of the council after that meeting; and
 - (b) recorded in the minutes of the meeting at which it is presented.

Policy Implications:

Nil.

Officers Recommendation:

That the Monthly Financial Statement for the period 1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010 be received.

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr I F West

SECONDED: Cr K J Hepworth

That the Monthly Financial Statement for the period 1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010 be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS

6/0

TP.507

Subject: Proposed Subdivision – Lot 2575 Beagle Road, Bonniefield
Proponent: S & L Mawer
Reporting Officer: Town Planner
File Reference: WAPC.142174
Date Prepared: 11 January 2011
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Issue:

To consider a request to reduce the amount of road to be constructed as part of an approved subdivision.

Background:

Council at its meeting held on 27 July 2010 considered a referral by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) to subdivide Lot 2575 Beagle Road, Bonniefield, into four new lots of between 15 and 21 hectares in area. A copy of the subdivision plan is attached.

Council supported the application subject to conditions and it was subsequently approved by the WAPC on 16 August 2010. Condition No 3 of that approval states the following:

‘Those lots not fronting an existing road being provided with frontage to a constructed road(s) connected by a constructed road(s) to the local road system and such road(s) being constructed and drained at the applicant/owner’s cost. As an alternative the WAPC is prepared to accept the applicant/owner paying to the local government the cost of such road works as estimated by the local government subject to the local government providing formal assurance to the WAPC confirming that the works will be completed within a reasonable period as agreed by the WAPC. (Local Government)’

This is a standard condition requiring all proposed roads created by the subdivision to be constructed.

The Proposal:

Landwest Planning Consultants, on behalf of the proponent, have now written to the Shire and requested that the amount of road to be constructed as part of Condition 3 above be reduced.

In particular, it is requested that construction of the road adjoining proposed Lot D be reduced to approximately 20 metres inside the lot and the remaining road reserve to the eastern boundary be ceded, but not constructed.

The request contends this is appropriate as follows:

- Construction of the full length of road reserve is in excess of requirements at this time;
- Construction of that portion of road reserve will serve no benefit to adjoining landholdings which are currently used for broad-acre farming purposes and which has alternative road access. The landowner of Lot 2673 has confirmed they have no intention of developing the lot for rural smallholdings;
- Maintenance would be an ongoing liability for Council;
- Future subdividers would be required via a condition of subdivision approval to construct the road reserve to connect to the local road network;
- If constructed it will encourage indiscriminate access to adjoining properties by off-road vehicles.

A full copy of the request is attached.

Officers Comment:

The request not to construct the full road reserve created by this subdivision is not supported.

This is on the following basis.

Firstly, as it is this subdivision creating the road reserve, it is the responsibility of the proponent to construct all the roads created by the subdivision. It is not acceptable to expect an adjoining landowner and future subdivider to construct a road created by a previous subdivision.

In this regard, the justification for the request states that a condition could be imposed on the owner of Lot 2673(Burton) to the east to construct the road when that lot is subdivided. No indication has been provided that this landholder agrees to this arrangement and there would be a risk such a condition would be appealed, potentially leaving Council with the burden of constructing the road.

Secondly, Council has in the past imposed the requirement that all road reserves be constructed as part of the subdivision process. A similar proposal was considered in January of 2004 whereby the proponent of the subdivision of Lot 977 Sheoak Road, Springfield (Bellis) requested that only one frontage of a corner lot be constructed, on the basis the other frontage was not required for access.

In this instance Council resolved that the road either be built as part of the subdivision, or an amount equal to construction costs plus 25% be paid to the Shire. The result was that the road was built as part of the subdivision.

Essentially, it is imperative that all road reserves created by a subdivision are also constructed as part of the subdivision process. If they are not, it leaves open the possibility that as time passes and landownership changes, the connection between future subdivision and building existing road reserves is lost, and the Shire bears the burden of building such roads.

Financial Implications:

Potential cost burden to the Shire if not constructed as part of the subdivision.

Statutory Environment:

Planning & Development Act 2005 – section 143(1)(c)

Policy Implications:

Nil.

Officers Recommendation:

That Council advise the proponent through Landwest Planning Consultants that in order for the Shire to clear Condition 3 of WAPC subdivision approval 142174, the full road reserve must be constructed to the Shire's specifications or alternatively an amount equal to the construction costs of the road plus 25% be paid to the Shire.

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr K J Hepworth

SECONDED: Cr B C Scott

That Council advise the proponent through Landwest Planning Consultants that in order for the Shire to clear Condition 3 of WAPC subdivision approval 142174, the full road reserve must be constructed to the Shire's specifications or alternatively an amount equal to the construction costs of the road plus 25% be paid to the Shire.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS

6/0

TP.508

Subject: Proposed Subdivision Guide Plan – Lots 1004 & 1005 Bonniefield East Road, Bonniefield
Proponent: PM & RA Schulze
Reporting Officer: Town Planner
File Reference: WAPC.142228
Date Prepared: 12 January 2011
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Issue:

To consider an application for a Subdivision Guide Plan over Lots 1004 and 1005 Bonniefield East Road, Bonniefield.

Background:

These lots are zoned Rural Smallholdings under the Shire of Irwin Local Planning Scheme No 5, and fall within Policy Area D of the Local Planning Strategy. The zoning and policy area generally allow for subdivision of land to a 15 hectare minimum lot size.

Council has previously considered a referral by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) for the subdivision of these lots at its Special Meeting held on 31 August 2010.

That referral proposed to subdivide the land into five new lots of roughly 15 hectares each, orientated in an east-west direction. The referral was supported by Council subject to conditions, plus the initial adoption of a limited structure plan over these and surrounding lots to ensure that the subdivision design proposed could be integrated into the eventual subdivision of the surrounding area.

A copy of that subdivision plan and limited structure plan are attached.

Upon consideration of the Shire's referral, the WAPC however declined to impose a condition requested by the Shire that this subdivision contribute to the construction of the road reserve along its eastern boundary. The construction of this road reserve is necessary to ensure a connected road network and that future subdivision of surrounding lots is not jeopardised.

The WAPC indicated that they would not be prepared to impose the condition, regardless of its importance in securing the road network, as they felt a condition resulting in lots with dual frontage would be hard to justify in an appeal situation.

This has effectively brought the subdivision application to a standstill as the Shire has not been prepared to support deleting the condition without securing the road network.

The Proposal:

To attempt to resolve the issue, consultation has taken place between the WAPC, the Shire and the proponent's planner (Landwest Planning Consultants). As a result of these talks, Landwest has now submitted an application to the Shire to have a Subdivision Guide Plan (SGP) approved prior to subdivision that would both ensure there are no lots with dual frontage and that a road on the eastern boundary of the subject land is constructed (see attached).

The trade-off however, is that the application proposes eight new lots rather than five and all are approximately 10 hectares in area, significantly smaller than the minimum 15ha lot size under Scheme No 5.

In regards to this point, Council and the WAPC (under Clause 5.30.4 of Scheme No 5) do have the ability to support lot sizes under the specified minimum, providing that it achieves the best road network outcome. The Subdivision Guide Plan as submitted proposes to rely on this clause for the reduced lot sizes.

It should be noted that the Subdivision Guide plan does not in itself approve of any subdivision, a formal application for such would still need to be lodged with the WAPC and the standard process followed. The purpose of implementing a Subdivision Guide Plan prior to subdivision in this instance is essentially to ensure the appropriate statutory process is followed for considering a reduction in the minimum lot size. If a reduction in the minimum lot size is allowed via the subdivision application process, then it risks setting a precedent and encouraging further applications for small lots.

Statutory Environment:

Clause 5.30.4 of the Shire of Irwin Local Planning Scheme No 5 allows the consideration of a reduced lot size on the Rural Smallholdings zone as follows:

‘At the time of subdivision guide plan approval, the local government may request that the Commission support lot sizes under the specified minimum lot size area in the interests of proper and responsible site planning. This will allow Council and the Commission flexibility to ensure the best road networks and lot designs is achieved.’

It is noted that Shire of Irwin Local Planning Scheme No 5 under section 5.30 – Rural Smallholdings, does not include any specific provisions for how a subdivision guide plan is to be prepared and adopted, even though such a plan is required prior to subdivision. It is therefore suggested, in the interests of consistency, that the process for adopting an outline development plan in the Residential zone under section 5.23 of the Scheme No 5 be similarly adopted for the Rural Smallholdings zone. The relevant clauses are as follows:

Clause 5.23.2.3

‘When an outline development plan has been prepared to the satisfaction of the local government, the local government shall advertise or require the proponent to cause the plan to be advertised for public inspection for a period of not less than 28 days, including notification in writing to all affected landowners.’

Clause 5.23.2.4

‘The local government shall consider any submissions made under sub-clause 5.23.2.3 and may modify the outline development plan after consideration of such submissions or adopt the outline development plan without modification.’

Clause 5.23.2.5

‘The local government shall, upon endorsement of an outline development plan, ensure its adoption as a local planning policy.’

Clause 5.23.2.8

‘The local government shall request the Commission to endorse outline development plans as the basis for approval of subdivision applications within areas covered by the plans.’

Officers Comment:

The Subdivision Guide Plan is generally supported.

It is acknowledged that the reduction in lot size to 10ha is significant, however, realistically without the Commission’s support to secure the eastern road while maintaining a 15ha lot size, there appears to be little other option.

This approach therefore represents the best opportunity at securing the local road network while allowing subdivision to proceed. It would also not jeopardise the limited structure plan already endorsed by Council for the surrounding area or any future whole of Policy Area D structure plan.

The design of the SGP itself is relatively straight forward, each lot is proposed to have good shape and a suitable area for building on. It is noted that it will widen the existing 10 metre road reserve on the eastern boundary to 20 metres (being the necessary width) which will result in a slight deviation in the road alignment. Although this is not ideal it is supported on the basis it will ensure the road is constructed as part of this subdivision and is not reliant on adjoining Lot 1612. Additionally, the alignment would not be expected to be a significant engineering design issue.

If Council are in favour of the Subdivision Guide Plan as proposed, the next steps (as per the ODP provisions for the Residential zone) would be as follows;

- Advertising of the SGP for public inspection for a period of 28 days, including notification in writing to surrounding landowners;
- Consideration of any submissions by Council and a resolution to adopt the SGP either with or without modifications;
- Referral of the SGP to the WAPC for their endorsement; and
- After it has been finally endorsed, the applicant could lodge a subdivision application with the WAPC in accordance with the SGP.

Financial Implications:

Nil.

Policy Implications:

Nil.

Officers Recommendation:

That Council adopt the Subdivision Guide Plan over Lots 1004 and 1005 Bonniefield Road East, Bonniefield, followed by advertising of the plan for public inspection for a period of 28 days and any submissions received be further considered by Council prior to final adoption.

Cr S Chandler disclosed a financial interest in TP.508 as he share farms with the proponent. Accordingly, he left the chambers at 4.23pm, prior to consideration and vote on the matter and during which the Deputy Shire President assumed the Chair.

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr K J Hepworth

SECONDED: Cr J B Fitzhardinge

That Council adopt the Subdivision Guide Plan over Lots 1004 and 1005 Bonniefield Road East, Bonniefield, followed by advertising of the plan for public inspection for a period of 28 days and any submissions received be further considered by Council prior to final adoption.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS

5/0

Cr S Chandler returned to the chambers at 4.24pm following item TP.508 and resumed the Chair.

10. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

11. QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

12. URGENT BUSINESS APPROVED BY PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION

Possible Shire of Irwin Donation – Queensland and Carnarvon Flood Relief Appeals.

PROCEDURAL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr B C Scott

SECONDED: Cr R T McClurg

That Council suspend standing orders at 4.27pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS:

6/0

PROCEDURAL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr I F West

SECONDED: Cr J B Fitzhardinge

That Council resume standing orders at 4.32pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS:

6/0

COUNCIL MOTION:

MOVED: Cr I F West

SECONDED: Cr B C Scott

That Council donates \$1,500 to the Queensland Premiers Flood Relief Appeal and \$1,000 to the Lord Mayor's Distress Fund (Carnarvon Floods) to assist in the relevant appeals' flood recovery programmes.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

VOTING DETAILS:

6/0

13. MATTERS BEHIND CLOSED DOORS

Nil.

14. CLOSURE

There being no further business, the Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 4.33pm.

I certify that this copy of the Minutes is a true and correct record of the meeting held on
18th January 2011

Signed:
Presiding Elected Member

Date:.....